The MUSIC guide to key-parameters in High Pressure Die Casting

Edited by

Franco Bonollo and Nicola Gramegna



Assomet Servizi Srl EnginSoft SpA MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stentis Stentis

MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stentis Stentis

casting of the office of the o

Copyright© 2014 - MUSIC Consortium

Je System to drive onents MUSIC: MUlti-layers control&cognitive System to drive metal and plastic production line for Injected Components

Collaborative IP Project - FoF-ICT-2011.7.1:Smart Factories: energy-aware, agile manufacturing and customization Contract no. 314145

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise without the permission in writing of the publisher.

ISBN: 978-88-87786-10-1

* Photo on the cover by Amit Shyam - Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Tennessee)

Title: "Silver Cermet" - This thin film of silver cermet leaves visible facets when sintered on a ceramic substrate at 950 deg Celsius. The facets are caused by evaporation of silver which is close to it melting point of 960 deg Celsius.

INDEX

| INTRODUCTION | 7 |
|--|----------|
| CHAPTER 1 | 9 |
| Methodology for quantitative classification of quality requirements for HPDC products considering their in-service function and performance requirements | ×S |
| | 11 |
| Introduction Quality requirements for classes of HPDC components | 11 |
| 2. Equilate requirements for classes of the DC components | 17 |
| Geographical distribution of FLI HPDC foundries | 12 |
| Typical structure and size of HPDC foundries | 12 |
| Allows used by ELLHPDC foundries | 1J 1/ |
| 2.2 HPDC products | 14 |
| Main classes of HPDC products | 15 |
| Main in-service function and performance requirements | |
| 2.3. Ouality control and classification | |
| Classes of defects in HPDC products | 17 |
| Methods for quality control | 22 |
| Quantitative evaluation of defects | 26 |
| Frequency of defects | |
| 2.4. Template table for the quantitative evaluation of defects | 27 |
| 2.5. Information modelling of the product/quality requirements | 29 |
| 2.6. Placement of MUSIC Partners Typical Components (AUDI, RDS) | 30 |
| 2.6.1. Introduction | 30 |
| 2.6.2. AUDI Typical Component: Shock Tower | 30 |
| 2.6.3. RDS Typical Component: Motor Gear housing | 34 |
| 3. Conclusions | 36 |
| 4. References | 39 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 | /1 |
| HPDC process requirements and parameters | 41 |
| 1. Introduction | 43 |
| 2. Process requirements for HPDC components | |
| 2.1. HPDC Process parameters | |
| 2.1.1. Relevant HPDC process parameters | |
| 2.1.2. Most guality-influencing HPDC parameters | 55 |

| 2.2. HPDC Process design | |
|---|------------|
| 2.2.1 HPDC Process design at AUDI | |
| 2.2.2 HPDC Process design at RDS | 71 |
| 3. Conclusions | 73 |
| ANNEX 1 | 75 |
| HPDC process requirements and parameters | |
| Dictionary of defects of die casting processes | 92 |
| References | |
| | ×S |
| | 00 |
| The "horse shee" Deference Die fer UDDC | |
| 1 Concerns and having of MUSIC UDDC Deference Dia u | 100 |
| Concepts and basics of MUSIC HPDC Reference Die Section of MUSIC HPDC Reference Die | 100 |
| 2. Numerical simulation of Poferonce Dia casting process | 100 101 |
| Numerical simulation of Reference Die Casing process | 101 103 |
| The sign monitoring system of the horse shoe herefelde be | 105 |
| ANNEX 3 | 105 |
| I B. Temperature monitoring during HPDC processe | |
| 1 "Total Thermal Vision" IB Temperature Measurement System | 107 |
| | |
| | 105 |
| | 105 |
| Advanced sensors network for monitoring HPDC processes | 110 |
| Sensors Network Sensors for High Bross to Dia Costing | 110 |
| Sensors for Fight Pressure Die Casung | 110 113 |
| Motal front contact sensor (EMKS) | 113 113 |
| 5. Mould internal pressure sensor (FIDS) | 113 114 |
| 6 Metal front temperature sensor (FMTS-02 new) | 115 |
| 7. Development of innovative sensors | |
| 8. Multi-Airpipe-Sensor for Vacuum systems | |
| 9. RFS – Humidity Sensor Measurements | |
| 10. GS - Residual gas Fraction Measurements | |
| 11. LMS - Air Flow Measurements | 118 |
| 12. VSBV – Vacuum | 119 |
| 13. Die fill control sensor FFCS | 119 |
| 14 Now monitoring system | 120 |

The MUSIC Project is aimed at developing a **MUlti-layers control&cognitive System to drive metal and plastic production line for Injected Components** under the Factory of Future (FoF) initiative, targeted at improving efficiency, adaptability and sustainability of manufacturing systems as well as their better integration within business processes in an increasingly globalised industrial context.

This ambitious and challenging goal can represent a key-action for leading European High Pressure Die Casting and Plastic Injection Moulding Companies to cost-based competitive advantage, achieved by lower scrap generation, efficiency, robustness and minimum energy consumption. The essential tool to do this will be a completely new ICT platform, based on innovative **Control and Cognitive system** linked to real time monitoring and allowing an active control of quality.

Written at the mid-term of the Project, this book, referred to High Pressure Die Casting (HPDC) of Aluminium alloys, intends to analytically describe methods, tools, parameters and innovative approaches developed to monitor and control the process and the quality product.

The book collects the guidelines to design and implement the **Intelligent Sensor Network (ISN)** in HPDC production line as first outcome of MUSIC project. The monitoring network is able to provide useable, meaningful and quantitative data on product quality, as well as to define strategies (varying production process parameters, changes to the tooling, etc.) to move toward higher quality product with economic efficiency.

This real time control system capability will be exploited, during next two years, in order to train a **cognitive-based ICT platform** for the industrial optimisation of High Pressure Die Casting production transforming the acquired knowledge and control methods into know-how.

The final MUSIC outcome, "Control and Cognitive system", will impact on intelligent management of manufacturing information for new smart factory oriented to **energy-aware, agile manufacturing and customization.**

As Coordinator and Scientific Manager of MUSIC project, our wish is that this book could be useful to the people involved in Light Alloys foundry industry (more than 2000 Companies, mostly SMEs, with an enormous potential due to the increasing demand of lightweight and reliable products in each application fields) and our thanks are for all the colleagues who very cooperatively worked in this Project.

> Nicola Gramegna Franco Bonollo

MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stentis Stentis

CHAPTER 1

Methodology for quantitative classification n. Gramegna, G. Scarpa, P. Donaggio EnginSoft SpA B. Bonollo, G. Timelli, E. Fiore University of Padov L. Kalⁱ Unive of quality requirements for HPDC products

G.C. Mei Assomet Servizi srl MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stente Components MUSC Britis Stentis Stentis

1. Introduction

The aim of this Chapter is to define the guidelines and standard procedures that characterize the huge variety of aspects related to products coming out from HPDC process. Product requirements include the dimensional tolerance affecting the assembling phase of the single component in the vehicle frame. The maximum acceptable deformation is not clearly defined in the standards and it depends on the joining methods: riveting, welding (linear, spot welds) and adhesive.

The product requirements are fundamental to determine measurable criteria (and their edges) necessary to design a monitoring network (ISN) able to provide useable, meaningful and quantitative data on product quality, as well as to define strategies (varying production process parameters, changes to the tooling, etc.) to move toward a higher product quality.

This Chapter will

- offer an up-graded survey of the EU High Pressure Die Casting (HPDC) manufacturing field;
- identify the approaches adopted to specifically define quality requirements;
- evaluate the placement of MUSIC HPDC (AUDI, RDS) Partners, with respect to the EU scenario for HPDC quality requirements.

2. Quality requirements for classes of HPDC components

A general description of HPDC requirements in terms of quality can be done only after that a proper knowledge of this manufacturing field on a EU basis has been achieved. This knowledge is referred to

- characteristics of EU HPDC Companies,
- alloys mainly used in production of components,
- main classes of products,
- typical in-service functions & requirements for these products,
- typical defects and imperfections associates to these products,
- methods adopted for quality control,
- quantitative approaches implemented in quality control,
- frequency of defects.

Once this knowledge is obtained, the placement of HPDC MUSIC Partners can be evaluated, and proper strategies and approaches for the set up of monitoring networks can be elaborated.

2.1. Foundries and alloys

NOTE: Paragraph 2.1 has been elaborated on the basis of public data and of the survey carried out by EU StaCast project (New Quality and Design Standards for Aluminium Alloys Cast Products, FP7-NMP-2012-CSA-6, PROJECT n. 319188, www.stacast-project.org). The sharing of these data has been approved by MUSIC and StaCast Consortia, in the frame of a policy of cross-cutting cooperation among EU projects.

Geographical distribution of EU HPDC foundries

The World business environment for the whole Aluminium industry is shown in Figure 1.

The relevant figures about the situation of EU AI alloys foundries are the following ones [sources: ASSOFOND, Report on EU & Italian Foundry in 2011, Assofond, Milano (2012); EAA Annual reports, Bruxelles (2012), in http://www.alueurope.eu/; CAEF, Annual reports, Bruxelles (2011), in http://www.caef.org/; Organisation of the European Aluminium Recycling Industry, http://www.oea-alurecycling.org/en/recycling/ eckdaten.php]:

- there are roughly more than 2000 Aluminium alloys foundries in Europe (Table 1); the number of Companies in the supply chain can be estimated as equivalent;
- they are basically SMEs, with an average number of employees around 50 (but major part of them has less than 20 employees),
- the end users of cast products are the transport industry (60%), mechanics (7%), electro-mechanics (9%), civil engineering (20%), with a growing trend in automotive and transportation, motivated by the achievable reduction in fuel consumption and emission;
- the production, due to the well-known effects of crisis, has been strongly reduced in 2008 and 2009, with a partial recovery in 2010 and 2011 (see Table 2);
- the total number of persons directly employed in the field in Europe can be estimated in a range between 80.000 and 90.000; the total number of persons employed in the supply chain can be estimated as equivalent.



Figure 1. The World business environment for the whole Aluminium industry

| | Italy | Germany | France | Poland | UK | EU |
|------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-----|--------|
| 2008 | 960 | 346 | 335 | 245 | 236 | ~ 2550 |
| 2009 | 920 | 344 | 319 | 245 | 220 | ~ 2450 |
| 2010 | 917 | 345 | 315 | 245 | 216 | ~ 2800 |
| 2011 | 914 | 344 | 311 | n.a. | 210 | ~ 2600 |

Table 1. Number of non-ferrous foundries in Europe (Al alloys foundries can be estimated as 80% of them)

| Country | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Germany | 773.000 | 882.000 | 802.000 | 560.000 | 797.000 | 844.000 |
| Italy | 897.000 | 912.000 | 820.000 | 560.000 | 730.000 | 833.000 |
| Spain | 129.000 | 125.000 | 110.000 | 81.000 | 100.000 | 113.000 |
| Sweden | 55.000 | 57.000 | 51.000 | 31.000 | 32.000 | 41.000 |
| EU | n.a. | 3.070.000 | 2.940.000 | 2.100.000 | 2.815.000 | 3.022.000 |

Table 2. Production of Al alloys castings in some European Countries (values in tons)

The more relevant foundry processes adopted are High Pressure Die Casting (HPDC, about 60-70% of European Aluminium alloys foundry production), followed by Gravity Casting (GC), Low Pressure Die Casting (LPDC) and Sand Casting (SC).

Typical structure and size of HPDC foundries

In order to adequately define the characteristics of EU HPDC foundries, it is very useful to consider the results of the survey recently carried out in the frame of the EU StaCast (New Quality and Design Standards for Aluminium Alloys Cast Products, www.stacast-project.org) Project (n. 319188 in the frame of FP7-NMP-2012-CSA-6). The survey was carried out on 82 Companies and Institutions, split as follows:

- 58 Foundries (HPDC, LPDC, permanent mold casting)
- 11 Providers of Materials and Services
- 2 Die-Makers
- 3 End-Users
- 8 Universities and RTD Centres

Among the HPDC foundries (43 companies), the majority (Figure 2) is constituted by SMEs (55.8%), while large industries (IND) correspond to 44.2%.

As far as the production data is concerned (Figure 3), there is a relatively homogeneous distribution of the foundries among the 5 individuated categories. More than



Figure 2. Partition SME vs IND of Foundries which answered the StaCast Questionnaire

a half of the HPDC foundries, being SMEs, has a year production lower than 3000 tons (37.3% less than 1000 tons/year, 14% from 1000 to 3000 tons/year). On the other side, a significant part of foundries (16.3%), essentially IND, has a production higher than 10.000 tons/year.

Considering the average production data for the first four classes of production (less than 1000 tons/year, from 1001 to 3000 tons/year, from 3001



Figure 3. Production data (Tons/Year) for the HPDC Foundries

to 5000 tons/year, from 5001 to 10.000 tons/year) and a conservative value of 15.000 tons/year for the fifth class (more than 10.000 tons/year), the overall production of the "StaCast" foundries can be estimated in terms of almost 250.000 tons/year, roughly representing more than 8% of the annual EU production. This estimation gives a further and good support in terms of reliability of the performed survey.

Alloys used by EU HPDC foundries

Figure 4 collects the information concerning the alloys used by HPDC foundries. The alloy which has the widest diffusion is EN AB 46000 (Al-Si9-Cu3(Fe)), which is used by almost 75% of foundries, followed by EN AB 43400 (Al-Si10-Mg(Fe)) and EN AB 47100 (Al-Si12-Cu1(Fe)), both used by 51% of the foundries.

It can be observed that alloys which are not included in the actual CEN 1706 standard are very few, and mentioned only by 30% of foundries.



Figure 4. Alloys used by the HPDC Foundries which answered the StaCast Questionnaire

2.2. HPDC products



Main classes of HPDC products

From Figure 5, it can be observed that 83.7% of the HPDC foundries are manufacturing castings for automotive applications (with a similar percentage for SMEs and IND), followed Electronics bv (30.2%). Mechanical Engineering (27.9%), and Architecture and Design (9.3%). In the "Other" category, mainly applications for mechanics and engineering have been mentioned.

Among the various categories of HPDC castings, a useful classification was suggested some years ago by the Italian Association of Metallurgy (*Ref.: Gruppo di Lavoro Qualità dei Getti Pressocolati:* "Qualità dei getti pressocolati: Indagine sulla situazione attuale, prospettive di una norma sulle condizioni di fornitura dei getti pressocolati"; Centro di Studio Pressocolata, Associazione Italiana di Metallurgia, Milano, 2006), and adopted by StaCast:

- Housing and Covers,
- Thin wall components,
- Safety components
- Engine blocks.

Figure 6 shows the partition of castings among these classes.

Among the various suggested categories, HPDC foundries are mainly producing Housing and Covers (32.6%), followed by Thin wall components (27.9%), Safety components (14.0%) and Engine blocks (4.7%). Engine blocks are almost exclusively produced by IND, which are also predominant in the production of safety components (in both cases, they are critical castings, requiring



Figure 6. Main fields of application of castings manufactured by the Foundries which answered the StaCast Questionnaire

high reliability in manufacturing and in quality control procedures). For Housing and covers there is a substantial balance between IND and SMEs, which, on the other side, are predominant in Thin wall components manufacturing.

Main in-service function and performance requirements (by classes)

In Figures 7-11, with reference to the main classes of HPDC castings (Housing and Covers, Thin wall components, Safety components, Engine blocks, Others), the main function/performance requirements asked by end-users are reported.



Figure 9. Requirements for HPDC housings and covers



Safety

Mechanical properties

Atisence of porosit

 Oriensional requirements
 Other

Figure 11. Requirements for HPDC other components

Requirements

2.3. Quality control and classification

60%

40%

20%

Classes of defects in HPDC products

Defects are intrinsically generated by casting processes, due to several reasons. The final properties and in-service behaviour of castings are always related to microstructural features and to defects: both microstructure and defects are the results of process stages, alloys properties and dies & tools design.

From these considerations, it seems that the potential of high-pressure die-casting will be completely exploited only when the quality level will be perfectly optimised. On such regard, the analysis of defects allows the foundry to monitor the products quality with respect to a quality standard. Further, the analysis of defects provides to the foundry useful correlations between defects type/distribution and their origin, so that it could be possible to define process modification for quality improvement.

The Italian Association of Metallurgy and, subsequently, the StaCast Project, after a 3-years survey carried out involving about 50 Al-alloys foundries, has recently suggested

a new classification approach, based on a 3-levels defects individuation (see also Annex 1):

- morphology/location of defects (internal, external, geometrical);
- metallurgical origin of defects (e.g. gaseous porosity, solidification shrinkage, etc.);
- specific type of defects (the same metallurgical phenomenon may generate various defects).

The **level I** is based on morphology/location of defects, with reference to the investigation techniques suitable for their detection (visual inspections and controls involving the bulk material): there are internal and external (or surface) defects. Subsurface defects (i.e. so close to the surface that they can affect the external aspect and be detectable by conventional surface investigation techniques), are considered surface defects. Finally, the geometrical defects refer to the casting shape in terms of dimensions and tolerances.

The **level II** is mainly focussed on the metallurgical origin of defects. Defects are grouped into several classes according to their general metallurgical origin:

- defects related to the presence of gas (gas-related defects);
- defects related to material volume contraction during metal solidification (shrinkage defects);
- defects related to thermal contraction prevented by previously solidified metal or by the die (thermal contraction defects);
- defects related to incorrect filling of the die-cavity (filling defects);
- defects related to metal/mould interaction;
- defects related to the presence of unsuitable phases (undesired phases), originated by the interaction of the metal with external environment during melting, casting, filling or extraction/ejection from the mould.

As previously observed, the knowledge of metallurgical origin could supply starting points for corrective actions (including process parameters).

The **level III** is used to identify the specific types of defects. Usually, the term adopted to describe a particular type of defect allows a better definition of the metallurgical origin of the defect itself, which was preliminarily identified in the previous level.

Such approach has been widely described in a published report [E. Gariboldi, F. Bonollo, P. Parona, Handbook of defects in HPDC, AIM, Milano], and certainly constitutes the basis for the first relevant StaCast Objective, i.e. the definition of a New Standard on defects classification. The present classification of defects is of hybrid type and multi-level, as schematically shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5.

he proposal refers to metallurgically-based defects of HPDC and permanent mould casting products. Defects directly related to handling, finishing, machining operations, following ejection from the die, are excluded from the classification, even if they could be possible causes for product rejection. In this way, the range of defect types is not excessively wide.

In the frame of the 1st Intermediate Meeting of MUSIC Project (March 2013), a fourth class of defects have been introduced (post-production defects).

Further considerations have been added:

- **•** for each defect, it is fundamental to establish both the **presence** and the **level**;
- it must be distinguished between "Short Term" and "Long Term" defects (as described in Table 6a-b).

| 1 st Level | 2 nd Level | | | 3 rd Level |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|
| | | | A1.1 | Macro-shrinkage |
| | A1 | Shrinkage defects | A1.2 | Interdendritic shrinkage |
| | | | A1.3 | Layer porosity |
| | | | A2.1 | Air entrapment porosity |
| | 42 | Gas-related defects | A2.2 | Hydrogen porosity |
| | RZ | | A2.3 | Vapour entrapment porosity |
| A | | | A2.4 | Lubricant entrapment porosity |
| Defects | A3 A4 | | A3.1 | Joint |
| | | Filling-related defects | A3.2 | Lamination |
| | | | A3.3 | Cold shot |
| | | Undesired phases | A4.1 | Inclusion |
| | | | A4.2 | Undesired structure |
| | Λ.E | Thormal contraction defects | A5.1 | Crack |
| | AS | i nermai contraction defects | A5.2 | Hot tear |

Table 3. Classification of internal defects.

| 1 st Level | 2 nd Level | | | 3 rd Level | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | B1 | Shrinkage defects | B1.1 | Sink | | |
| | B2 | Gas-related defects | B2.1 | Blister | | |
| S | 0 | | B3.1 | Joint and Vortex | | |
| A | B3 | Filling-related defects | B3.2 | Lamination | | |
| | 2 | | B3.3 | Cold shot | | |
| B Surface Defects | B4 B5 | Undesired phases | B4.1 | Surface deposit | | |
| | | Undesired phases | B4.2 | Contamination or inclusion | | |
| | | Thermal contraction defects | B5.1 | Crack | | |
| | | | B5.2 | Hot tear | | |
| | B6 | | B6.1 | Erosion | | |
| | | | B6.2 | Soldering | | |
| | | Metal-die interaction defects | B6.3 | Thermal fatigue | | |
| | | | B6.4 | Ejection mark | | |
| | | | B6.5 | Corrosion of the die | | |

Table 4. Classification of surface defects.

| 1 st Level | 2 nd Level | | 3 rd Level | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| C | C1 | Lack of material | C1.1 | Incomplete casting | |
| Geometrical Defects | C2 | Excess of material | C2.1 | Flash | |
| | С3 | Out of tolerance | C3.1 | Deformed part | |

Table 5. Classification of geometrical defects.

| | Defect | Parameter | Defect Characteristics |
|-----------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| | Air entrapment | 1st phase 2nd phase Switch over point Velocity phase I Velocity phase II Gating depth (self- adaptive system) Venting cross section (self- adaptive system) | ents |
| | Lamination | Metal Temperature Die Temperature Melt velocity | |
| Short Term Defects | Cold laps | Metal Temperature Die Temperature Melt velocity Local Flow Directions | N.S. |
| | Shrinkage | Metal Temperature Die temperature Intensification pressure (at the moment represented by feeding effectivity) Local squeezed Volume Gating depth (self- adaptive system) | |
| | Hot cracks | Metal temperatureDie temperature | ł |
| and and | Distortion | Local Casting Temperature Die Temperature | |
| netal | Erosion | Melt velocity (2nd phase) Residence time Gating depth (self- adaptive system) | 2 |
| Long Term Defects | Die temperature Metal temperature Residence time | | |
| | Mold cracks | Local Die Temperature Differences (caused by spraying intensity and time Spray Intensity (local HTC) Metal temperature Die temperature | 0 |

Table 6a. Short and long term defects, and related process parameters.

| | Defect | Potential of Simulation | Simulation result | Example by MAGMASOFT |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Short Term Defects | Air entrapment | Simulation model needs to be extended: Shot Chamber Simulation. New result Advanced Air Entrapment is developed. | Air entrapment Advanced Air Entrapment | Ser !! |
| | Lamination | Local temperature indicates that there might be lamination. New result Fluidity is developed | Local Casting Temperature at the end of filling? Fluidity | Contraction of the second seco |
| | Cold laps | Local temperature indicates that there might be cold laps. New result Fluidity is developed. | Local Casting Temperature at the end of filling? Fluidity | Contraction of the second seco |
| | Shrinkage Shrinkage Possible. Direct correlation between feeding effectivity and intensification pressure to be developed (further investigations required). New result Pore free Zone is developed. | | Feeding & Porosity Pore free Zone Weighted Volume Feeding | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| | Hot cracks | Possible | Hot tear criterion | |
| | Distortion | Possible | Distortion | |
| Long Term Defects | Erosion | Possible, but more information about critical velocity and critical residence time required. | Die Erosion (Where a critical melt velocity exceeds a defined residence time) | |
| | Die soldering | Possible, but more information about critical temperature and critical residence time required | Melt velocity (2nd phase) Residence time Gating depth (self- adaptive system) | an a |
| | Mold cracks | Possible | • Die Life | a law a |

Table 6b. Short and long term defects: potential of simulation for their prediction.

"Short Term" means that changes in process parameters will typically lead to a visible change on this defect after a reasonably low number of cycles.

"Long Term": meaning defects that only appear after a significantly large number of cycles due to metal-die interaction as indicated by B6 class.

Another key-aspect is referred to development/training of meta-models of defects. If the meta-model deals with "Short Term" defects, the development/training seems not to be critical. If the meta-model deals with "Long Term" defects, the effect of process parameter changes are only visible after long periods of time. A sub-model for these defects must be developed based e.g. on laboratory scale experimental work and/or on literature information.

Methods for quality control

Techniques usually employed for Quality Control are based on

- Visual inspection,
- Radiographic inspection,
- Leak tightness testing,
- Density measurements,
- Liquid penetrant inspection,
- Ultrasonic testing,
- Destructive testing (machining, sawing, metallography, fractography).

They can be applied on 100% of castings or on a statistical basis.

The above-mentioned StaCast Project verified the degree of usage of these Quality Control Methods, with reference to the main categories of defects identifiable by grouping the classes of defects reported above. Six main groups of defects can be identified:

- Gas/Air porosity,
- Inclusions,
- Shrinkage,
- Cracks,
- Filling-related defects,
- Metal-die interactions.

In Figs 12-17, the use of the different methods for quality control, referred to the six groups of defects, is described.



Figure 12. Use and approach (100% Production or Statistical basis) of various investigation techniques to detect Gas/Air porosity defects by HPDC Foundries



Figure 13. Use and approach (100% Production or Statistical basis) of various investigation techniques to detect Inclusions defects by HPDC Foundries



Figure 14. Use and approach (100% Production or Statistical basis) of various investigation techniques to detect Shrinkage defects by HPDC Foundries



Figure 15. Use and approach (100% Production or Statistical basis) of various investigation techniques to detect Crack defects by HPDC Foundries



Figure 16. Use and approach (100% Production or Statistical basis) of various investigation techniques to detect Filling related defects by HPDC Foundries



Figure 17. Use and approach (100% Production or Statistical basis) of various investigation techniques to detect Metal-Die Interaction defects by HPDC Foundries

Quantitative evaluation of defects

For all groups of defects, it is relevant to check if they are also measured or quantified (and not only simply detected) by foundries. From Figure 18, it appears clear that quantification is performed (for the majority of foundries) when Gas/Air Porosity (74.4%) or Shrinkage (55.8%) are considered. For these defects, detection is mainly carried out by X-Rays inspection (in the range from 70% to 80% of cases, as shown in previous Paragraphs), which, by means of modern data elaboration systems, can be associated to quantitative evaluations. Furthermore, these kinds of defects in some cases can be accepted in the castings, if they are within a previously identified threshold (in this sense, and according to the definition of EN 12258-1, they can be considered as "imperfections" instead of "defects").



Figure 18. Extent of measurement/quantification of defects

Metal-Die interaction, Filling-related and Cracks defects are basically located on the surface of castings, and basically individuated by visual inspection, which defines whether the casting is or not acceptable. In these cases, defect measurement/ quantification is less strategic (carried out, respectively, by 46.5%, 48.8% and 51.2%) of the HPDC foundries.

Inclusions can be detected mainly by metallography, i.e. on a statistical basis; the need to measure/quantify them is probably associated to specific requirements of the castings, and thus carried out only by 41.9% of foundries.

Frequency of defects

It is evident that the defects genesis is strongly associated to the specific casting process adopted. The situation, in terms of defects frequency, for HPDC is associated to the following ranking (Figure 19):

- Metal/Die interaction (57%): significant frequency,
- Gas/Air porosity (54%): significant frequency,

- Shrinkage (37%): slightly more than occasionally,
- Filling-related (35%): slightly more than occasionally,
- Crack (33%): occasionally,
- Inclusions (24%): less than occasionally.

The relevancy of Metal/Die interaction defects and of Gas/ Air porosity defects is significantly high, with respect to other groups.

2.4. Template table for the quantitative evaluation of defects



Figure 19. Frequency of defects, as estimated by HPDC foundries

From the above information, it

is possible to suggest a template, to be used for quantitative classification of quality requirements for classes of HPDC products, considering both their in-service function and their performance requirements. The needed information to define and standardize the methodology for quantitative evaluation of defects are collected in Table 7. In detail, Table 7 includes the following fields:

| Component Category | Part/zone | Performance | Defects | Measurement method(s) | Appl. Level [*] | Threshold acceptance level and related unit |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | C~ (00 | St' e | | | |
| Engine Block Thin wall Safety Covers Others | 101/24 | | K 20 | | | |
| | | | Ø | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | S | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 7. Template table for quantification of product requirements. [*] Application Level: I = Industrial Production; P = Industrial Pre-Production; R = Research

Component Category, according to the categories previously introduced:

- Housing and covers;
- Thin wall components;
- Safety components;
- Engine blocks
- Others.
- Part/zone: for each of the aforementioned categories, it is possible to define common parts or zones for all castings: these parts/zones share the same functionalities/ performances and, as a consequence, the same product requirements.

- Performance: the product requirements for which the particular part has been designed.
- Defects: defect or defects greatly affecting the product requirements have to be reported, as well as the quality control method expected to be used. The defects are reported on the basis of the classification rules set up in Tables 3 to 5.
- Measurement method(s): they are selected from the methods of quality control mentioned in the previous field.
- Quantity (unit of measure): the parameter used to quantify the defect (with the proper unit of measure).
- Threshold acceptance level: maximum, minimum, thresholds and/or range of acceptance for the particular defect to quantify.

| Component Category | Part/zone | Performance | Defects | Measurement method(s) | Appl. Level [*] | Threshold acceptance level and related unit | |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Xray | I,P,R | mean shrinkage | |
| | | | [A1.2] Interdendritic | Tomography | P,R | length (μm) | |
| | | | shrinkage | Metallography | I,P,R | shrinkage area | |
| | | | | SEM | P,R | (μm²) | |
| | | Yield | | Xray | I,P,R | | |
| | | Stress | [A4 1] Inclusion | Tomography | P,R | Inclusion size: max | |
| | | | [A4.1] Inclusion | Metallography | I,P,R | 0.75 mm | |
| | | | | SEM | P,R | | |
| | | [A4.2] Undesired structure | Metallography | I,P,R | | | |
| | | | SEM | P,R | | | |
| | | 0 | [A1.1] Macro- shrinkage | Xray | I,P,R | mean shrinkage size [mm] | |
| Engine Block | Bearing | x ro | | Tomography | P,R | | |
| DIOCK | Support | 123 | | Metallography | I,P,R | Shrinkage area [mm²] | |
| | \mathcal{O} | <u>9</u> . | | SEM | P,R | | |
| 9 | , C | LITS | 0 | Xray | I,P,R | | |
| | 2 | 015 | [A4.1] | Tomography | P,R | Inclusion size: max | |
| Q | | | Inclusion | Metallography | I,P,R | 0.5 mm | |
| -C | | | | SEM | P,R | | |
| | | | [A2.1] Air | Metallography | I,P,R | | |
| | | | Entrapment Porosity | SEM | P,R | | |
| | | Fatigura | [B6.3] Thermal fatigue | Liquid penetrant inspection | I,P,R | | |
| | | Fatigue | Fatigue [B5.1] Crack | | Liquid penetrant inspection | I,P,R | |

Table 8. Example of application of the template table for quantification of product requirements: case of Engine Block with specific reference to Bearing support areas. [*] Application Level: I = Industrial Production; P = Industrial Pre-Production; R = Research An example of application of this methodology for quantitative evaluation of defects is given in Table 8, which has been set up with reference to HPDC engine blocks.

2.5. Information modelling of the product/quality requirements

Once the quality requirements for the HPDC processes are defined, the information has to be "modelled" and stored in a central server that hosts a database and dialogues with the control and cognitive system application. From the analysis of the product requirements, it arises that the following information shall be collected in the database:

- the casting category/family/class;
- the physical areas where specific requirements are defined;
- the type of defect concerning the above mentioned areas;
- the method used for inspecting the item and identifying the defect;
- a measurable (normalized) quantity that defines the intensity of the defect with specific unit;
- a set of thresholds that define the seriousness of the defect and bound the minimum and maximum acceptability ranges.

These parameters can be structured, for instance, as tables in a SQL database.

When deployed, the system undergoes a preliminary phase, called **training phase**. A set of real and simulated designs of experiments (DOEs) are planned and the system observes, acquires and analyzes a big amount of data. The purpose of the training phase is to build the cognitive model for a particular production process.

The analysis of the components and their defects during the real experiments provides the system with the necessary information highlighted above. The data (in particular the quality output) shall be manually or semi-automatically (depending on the inspection methodology) inserted into the database by a human operator using the best method and accuracy.

During the **production phase**, when the system is running, the product information allow to uniquely identify a defect, by its category and location. The quality output by inspection will be investigated only for the "predicted scrap" components, thus updating the database accordingly.

Depending on the specific process, all or just some of the above parameters may be necessary. For instance, the location of the defect may be critical for a particular inspection methodology, or be non-influential for another one. Also, new classes of parameters may be added in particular cases. For example, it may be necessary to insert a new inspection methodology, that is not already present in the database. The last two parameters, namely the defect measure and the thresholds, may be influenced by the other categories. Table 9 summarizes the characteristics of the various parameters, using the SQL terminology. The rows with a X under the column named "Not null" identify the parameters that must always exist. The column "Reference" points out whether and how a parameter is related to other information, probably maintained in specific SQL tables.